

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

USA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
ANDERSON,  
Defendant.

Case No. [14-cr-00576-SI-1](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE RULE 36  
MOTION TO CORRECT CLERICAL  
ERROR**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 57, 62

On December 11, 2017, defendant Denzel Anderson filed a pro se “Rule 36 Motion to Correct Clerical Error,” contending that he was being denied appropriate credit for pre-plea time served. Dkt. No. 57. On January 11, 2018, counsel for the government and for Mr. Anderson filed a Joint Response to the motion (Dkt. No. 62). In the Joint Response, government counsel asserted that this Court has no jurisdiction to resentence the defendant, and that in any event the time served had been properly computed; defendant’s counsel requested that the Court reserve ruling until he could file a response, after he reviewed the transcript. Nothing has been filed since that time.

**Accordingly, counsel for defendant Anderson is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, in writing to be filed no later than March 26, 2018, why the Rule 36 motion should not be dismissed.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 15, 2018

SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge